

1. Further Submitter Details (mandatory information)

| | | | |
|---|----------------|------------|------|
| Full Name of individual or organisation making further submission: | | | |
| Contact Person (if different from above) | | | |
| Email address: | | | |
| Preferred method of contact: (select one) | Email: | | |
| | Post | | |
| Submitter contact phone number: | | | |
| Do you have an Agent acting on your behalf? | Yes | | |
| | No | | |
| Agent name and email address: | | | |
| Who is all correspondence to go to?: | Submitter only | Agent only | Both |

2. Request to be heard at hearings

| | |
|---|-----|
| Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission | |
| No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission | |
| If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing | Yes |
| | No |

3. Eligibility to make a further submission (for further information on this go to [RMA Schedule 1, Clause 8](#))

I am (select ONE of the following options:

| |
|---|
| A person representing a relevant aspect of public interest. |
| A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. |
| The local authority. |

4. My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:

(For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups.
OR
Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected.)

5. Further Submission/s for submission/s being notified on 24 February 2026 on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan:

| Submission Point Number: | Submitter Name | Support OR Oppose | Reasons for supporting or opposing |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| <i>Example</i> 123.45 | <i>Example</i> John Smith | <i>Example</i> Support | <i>Example</i> I support this because.... |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

YOU ARE WELCOME TO PROVIDE THE REQUIRED INFORMATION ABOVE OR EXTRA INFORMATION ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF YOU WANT TO

Kaipara Proposed District Plan

Atlas Quarries Limited
C/- Geoff England, Planner/ Senior Principal
Boffa Miskell,
PO Box 91250,
Auckland, 1142.

Email: geoff.england@boffamiskell.co.nz

Phone: 027 346 0587

Scope of Further Submissions

- Submission #366 – NZ Pork
- Submission #367 – Te Uri o Hau

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| FS107.1 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 10 | Support | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. |
| FS107.2 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 12 | Support | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. |

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| FS107.3 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 13 | Support | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. |
| FS107.4 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 14 | Support | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. |
| FS107.5 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 24 | Support in part with own amendments | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP. |
| FS107.6 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 25 | Support in part with own amendments | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP. |

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| FS107.7 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 27 | Support in part with own amendments | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP. |
| FS107.8 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 28 | Support in part with own amendments | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP. |
| FS107.9 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 30 | Support in part with own amendments | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP. |
| FS107.10 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 32 | Support in part with own amendments | The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA. |

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP. |
| FS107.11 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 33 | Support in part with own amendments | <p>The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.12 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 41 | Support in part with own amendments | <p>The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.13 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 44 | Support in part with own amendments | <p>The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| FS107.14 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 49 | Support in part with own amendments | <p>The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.15 | NZ Pork Industry Board | 366 | 54 | Oppose in part subject to amendments | <p>The definition of Earthworks may inadvertently capture quarrying activities.</p> <p>Specifically exclude quarrying activities from earthworks.</p> |

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| FS107.16 | Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 10 | Support in part subject to amendments | <p>The sites of significance to mana whenua and mana whenua cultural values need to be specified / identified within the District Plan in order that an assessment of effects can be undertaken.</p> <p>Part Two of the RMA section recognises and provides for matters of national importance including section (6)(e) <i>the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga.</i></p> |

| Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|---|
| | | | | <p>with section 7 having particular regard to (b) <i>the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>The objectives and policies including policy ECO-P1, need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> |
| <p>FS107.17 Te Uri o Hau</p> | <p>367</p> | <p>11</p> | <p>Support in part subject to amendments</p> | <p>The sites of significance to mana whenua and mana whenua cultural values need to be specified / identified within the District Plan in order that an assessment of effects can be undertaken.</p> <p>Part Two of the RMA section recognises and provides for matters of national importance including section (6)(e) <i>the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga:</i> with section 7 having particular regard to (b) <i>the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>The objectives and policies including policy ECO-P2, need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> |

FS107.18

| Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 25 | Support in part subject to amendments | <p>The sites of significance to mana whenua and mana whenua cultural values need to be specified / identified within the District Plan in order that an assessment of effects can be undertaken.</p> <p>Part Two of the RMA section recognises and provides for matters of national importance including section (6)(e) <i>the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga:</i> with section 7 having particular regard to (b) <i>the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>The objectives and policies, including SASM-P4 need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 26 | Support in part subject to amendments | <p>Part Two of the RMA section recognises and provides for matters of national importance including section (6)(e) <i>the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga:</i> with section 7 having particular regard to (b) <i>the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> |

FS107.19

| | Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | <p>The objectives and policies including policy SASM-P7(3), need to be in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.20 | Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 27 | Support in part subject to amendments | <p>The objectives and policies including policy ECO-01, need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.21 | Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 28 | Support in part subject to amendments | <p>The objectives and policies need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.22 | Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 34 | Oppose | <p>The sites of significance to mana whenua and mana whenua cultural values need to be specified / identified within the</p> |

| Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | <p>District Plan in order that an assessment of effects can be undertaken.</p> <p>Part Two of the RMA section recognises and provides for matters of national importance including section (6)</p> <p><i>(a) the preservation of the natural character of the coastal environment (including the coastal marine area), wetlands, and lakes and rivers and their margins, and the protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development:</i></p> <p><i>(b) the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development:</i></p> <p><i>(c) the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna:</i></p> <p><i>(e) the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga:</i></p> <p>with section 7 having particular regard to</p> <p><i>(b) the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>The objectives and policies including policy EW-02, need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions</p> |

| Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | <p>must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.23 Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 35 | Oppose | <p>The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA.</p> <p>The objectives and policies including policy EW-P2, need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| FS107.24 Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 36 | Oppose | <p>The PKDP must give effect to the provisions as they relate to mineral extraction activities within the Regional Policy Statement for the Northland Region, and Parts 2 and 3 of the RMA.</p> <p>Part Two of the RMA section recognises and provides for matters of national importance including section (6)</p> <p><i>(a) the preservation of the natural character of the coastal environment (including the coastal marine area), wetlands,</i></p> |

| Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | | | | <p><i>and lakes and rivers and their margins, and the protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development:</i></p> <p><i>(b) the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development:</i></p> <p><i>(c) the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna:</i></p> <p><i>(e) the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga:</i></p> <p>with section 7 having particular regard to</p> <p><i>(b) the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>The objectives and policies including policy EW-P3, need to provide for mineral extraction activities, in line with the effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c). The provisions must provide for an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority.</p> <p>A chapter specific to Mineral Extraction should be added to the PKDP.</p> |
| Te Uri o Hau | 367 | 49 | Support in part with subject to amendment. | Do not adopt recommended relief for GRUZ-S3 <i>“that the recommendations from our CEA is included in the Conditions of the resource consent.”</i> |

FS107.25

| Original Submitter | Submission Number | Point Number | Support/ Oppose | Reasons |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | | | The effects hierarchy set out by the RMA section 5(2)(c) must be provided for with an assessment of effects to be undertaken and considered by the decision making authority. |